Replacing neural networks by optimal analytical predictors for the detection of phase transitions

Julian Arnold (julian.arnold@unibas.ch), Frank Schäfer

Automated detection of phase transitions from data



IDEA: REPLACE NEURAL NETWORKS BY OPTIMAL ANALYTICAL PREDICTORS

- standard workflow 1) \Rightarrow 2) \Rightarrow 3) involves neural networks
 - which are difficult to interpret and act as black boxes
 - whose training can be computationally expensive and tedious
- our approach 1) \Rightarrow 2^{*}) \Rightarrow 3) is based on analytical expressions
 - which reveal inner workings of black-box neural networks
 - allow for efficient detection of phase transitions without training neural networks

 \Rightarrow PROCEDURE IS DEMONSTRATED ON THREE POPULAR NEURAL NETWORK-BASED METHODS

• topological crossover in Ising gauge theory: $H = -J \sum_{\mathbb{P}} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{P}} \sigma_i$



... as well as

- symmetry-breaking phase transition in Ising model
- Berezinskii–Kosterlitz–Thouless transition in XY model

University

of Basel

Bruder group

Application to quantum systems

• many-body localization transition in Bose-Hubbard model: $\hat{H} = -J \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\hat{b}_{i+1}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_i + \text{h.c.}) + \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_i (\hat{n}_i - 1) + W h_i \hat{n}_i$



... as well as

- first-order phase transition in XXZ chain
- Mott-insulator to superfluid transition in Bose-Hubbard model
- topological phase transition in Kitaev chain



[J. Arnold and F. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. X 12, 031044 (2022)]